

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/777,448	Applicant(s) CHILDERS, WINTHROP D.	
	Examiner KRISTEN C. MATTER	Art Unit 3771	

All Participants:

(1) KRISTEN C. MATTER.

(2) WALTER KARNSTEIN.

Date of Interview: 23 September 2008

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Time: 3 PM

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____.

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

Claims 34, 38, and 39

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Kristen C. Matter/
Examiner, Art Unit 3771

/Justine R. Yu/
Supervisory Patent Examiner, AU3771

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The attached examiner's amendment was discussed and agreed upon. Applicant also clarified that the term "recharging" as used in claims 38 and 39 referred to the accumulator being refilled with medicament for storing.